Per Capita Income, 2014 - 2018 and 2019 - 2023 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Per Capita Income			Per Capita Income State Ranking*	
Widilicipality			2014 - 2018 2019 - 2023		
Boonton	\$42,318	\$49,829	77 Onlange		
Boonton Twp.	\$66,009	\$77,590	17.7 %	77	98
Butler	\$41,567	\$52,386	26.0%		298
Chatham Boro.	\$75.708	\$99,600	31.6%	38	41
Chatham Twp.	\$106,745	\$119,664	12.1%		
Chester Boro.	\$53,530	\$80,525	50.4%	148	88
Chester Twp.	\$75,517	\$99,351	31.6%		42
Denville	\$59,208	\$70,355	18.8%	105	146
Dover	\$26,300	\$31,603	20.2%		532
East Hanover	\$52,877	\$68,909	30.3%	155	157
Florham Park	\$59,940	\$65,931	10.0%	100	174
Hanover	\$56,793	\$75,032	32.1%	123	115
Harding	\$103,947	\$125,144	20.4%	7	12
Jefferson	\$45,369	\$54,652	20.5%	234	273
Kinnelon	\$62,641	\$79,136	26.3%	87	91
Lincoln Park	\$35,835	\$57,546	60.6%	382	244
Long Hill	\$69,726	\$75,110	7.7%	64	113
Madison	\$67,168	\$85,897	27.9%	73	75
Mendham Boro.	\$77,701	\$114,107	46.9%	34	20
Mendham Twp.	\$100,462	\$129,179	28.6%	9	11
Mine Hill	\$35,450	\$47,721	34.6%	390	367
Montville	\$66,591	\$77,854	16.9%	76	97
Morris Twp.	\$72,274	\$88,673	22.7%	53	62
Morris Plains	\$56,848	\$75,940	33.6%	122	108
Morristown	\$56,606	\$66,773	18.0%	126	168
Mountain Lakes	\$96,777	\$102,826	6.3%	10	35
Mount Arlington	\$54,212	\$50,876	-6.2%	142	320
Mount Olive	\$46,738	\$59,228	26.7%	215	224
Netcong	\$36,906	\$53,868	46.0%		283
Parsippany	\$46,498	\$59,526	28.0%	219	221
Pequannock	\$51,195	\$63,240	23.5%		192
Randolph	\$60,989	\$75,830	24.3%	94	109
Riverdale	\$54,261	\$55,102	1.5%		268
Rockaway Boro.	\$39,811	\$54,185	36.1%	308	278
Rockaway Twp.	\$49,398	\$63,657	28.9%		189
Roxbury	\$47,032	\$56,156	19.4%	209	259
Victory Gardens	\$22,466	\$24,154	7.5%		553
Washington	\$55,158	\$73,556	33.4%	134	124
Wharton	\$33,590	\$47,966	42.8%	425	362
Morris County	\$55,826	\$69,226	24.0%	-	-
New Jersey	\$40,895	\$53,118	29.9%	-	-

* Ranked out of all municipalities in New Jersey

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 - 2018 and 2019 - 2023 American Community Survey Table: B19301

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For ACS methodology, see:

http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html

For Accuracy of Data, see:

http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html

Prepared by the Morris County Office of Planning and Preservation Table Revised: January 2025

